

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 15-740V

Filed: October 15, 2015

Unpublished

\*\*\*\*\*

AMY LOEDING,

\*

\*

Petitioner,

\*

\*

Ruling on Entitlement; Concession;

Influenza;

\*

Shoulder Injury ("SIRVA");

SECRETARY OF HEALTH

\*

Special Processing Unit ("SPU")

AND HUMAN SERVICES,

\*

\*

Respondent.

\*

\*

\*\*\*\*\*

*Jeffrey Pop, Jeffrey S. Pop, Attorney at Law, Beverly Hills, CA, for petitioner.*

*Ryan Pyles, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.*

### **RULING ON ENTITLEMENT**<sup>1</sup>

**Dorsey**, Chief Special Master:

On July 16, 2015, Petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,<sup>2</sup> [the "Vaccine Act" or "Program"]. Petitioner alleges that she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration ("SIRVA") and brachial neuritis as a result of her October 10, 2014 influenza vaccination. Petition at 1. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

On October 13, 2015, respondent filed her Rule 4(c) report in which she concedes that petitioner is entitled to compensation in this case. Respondent's Rule 4(c) Report at 1. Specifically, respondent "has concluded that petitioner's alleged injury is consistent with SIRVA; that a preponderance of evidence establishes that her SIRVA was caused in fact by the flu vaccination she received on October 10, 2014; and that no other causes for petitioner's SIRVA were identified." *Id.* at 2-3. Respondent further

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, § 205, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (codified as amended at 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2006)). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

agrees that petitioner's injury persisted for at least six months and that all legal prerequisites for compensation under the Vaccine Act have been satisfied. *Id.*

**In view of respondent's concession and the evidence before me, I find that petitioner is entitled to compensation.**

**s/Nora Beth Dorsey**

Nora Beth Dorsey  
Chief Special Master